. 1	NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
2	Washington, D. C.
3	
4	
5	Interview of
6	JAMES BEGGS
7	NASA Administrator
8	for
9.	Boards & Directors Magazine
10	Boards & Directors Magazine No dale 1981 or 1982
11	1982
	1981
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	(This transcript was prepared from a tape recording.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

MR. BEGGS: (Start of tape) That is something that, unless we can fix it, unless we can make that better, we are going to progressively lose market position just as we have lost market position in the past 15, 20 years in a lot of areas.

So, the appropriate role of government

(Inaudible) and the appropriate partnership should be on
a basis of strong, working relationships between

government and the industry and is something that the
industry should learn it should promote.

I think the history of NASA is a good architect (Inaudible)... and the potential of commercialization in space that I think that by and by there will be a recognition of the potential.

If the McDonald-Johnson & Johnson experiment works (Inaudible) significant (Inaudible) if some of the other materials processes (Inaudible) develops as (Inaudible), it also will result, and I think as soon as venture capitalists and people who are interested in advanced work start to realize that, they will start to move in. They have not moved in as fast as we would have hoped, but I think those that have give us great promise and others will.

(NOTHING FURTHER ON SIDE 1.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433

(START OF SIDE 2 OF TAPE:)

... public as your stockholders. Could you please explain why this is so and enlarge on that?

MR. BEGGS: Stockholders are people who pay their money on the anticipation that they will participate in the profits of the enterprise, right?

: Right.

MR. BEGGS: In our case, (Inaudible) they participate vicariously in the profits of the enterprise in the sense that they have been a part of (Inaudible) part of the program. And that is the reason that we have decided a long time ago that we would keep the program public from the point of view of from launch to the landing.

: Because it is America's

MR. BEGGS: Well, so they can have a part of it. So that they can be a part of it and enjoy it. But more importantly, they are stockholders and presumably the most important thing, or one of the most important things is to enjoy economic activity and economic fallout of the program, which in many areas we have succeeded in stimulating economic activity, generating new industries, which in turn creates employment, creates wealth which contribute to the

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

space program?

1 economic wellbeing of America. 2 Do you think the public 3 realizes that they are the stockholders of NASA? 4 MR. BEGGS: Sure. 5 That they are as in 6 many corporations, there are some dissident stockholders. 7 Do you feel that there is a national concensus behind 8 NASA? 9 (Inaudible) MR. BEGGS: 10 Why do you think they are dissident? 11 12 MR. BEGGS: (Inaudible) They all think we are 13 spending -- well, there are two classes of dissident stockholders, one who believe we should spend the money, 14 as they say, here on Earth, which, of course, is a 15 misconception because all the money does get spent here 16 on Earth. But they think that the money ought to be 17 18 diverted to the imperative social issues. 19

The plain facts are that we only spend eighttenths of one percent of the federal budget, whereas the imperative social issues are getting 52 percent of the budget. And I would suggest that that ratio may have gone too far.

The other group, represented by Bill Proxmire, thinks we ought to spend the money more directly

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1330 YERMONT AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

20

21

22

23

24

stimulating economic activity and it should be more closely related to cost-benefit ratios. (Inaudible) lots of economists (Inaudible) to run a research program, and we don't agree with that because we don't believe that you can assess accurately the benefit from a research program when you initiate it. When you initiate it, research pays off very big, but you never know where it is going to pay off, or when.

We invest in true research, true long range research, which generally speaking will pay off in 10 to 20 years, a long time, and is very difficult to assess which pieces of it will pay off at what time period. But the investment is no less important because what it stimulates is economic activity for the future.

And if you don't have it, if you don't do that (Inaudible) when current industries mature, we don't compete because we see a lot of mature industries in the United States now.

: Talk about some of the unique management challenges of NASA. What do you see as some of the unique challenges in managing the organization like NASA?

MR. BEGGS: Well, it is managing almost totally what Harman Cohn loves to describe as knowledge,

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

as the knowledge workers, because they are highly educated individuals who are concentrating on doing work in creative fields which are not capable of being measured in industrial terms, in terms of what the normal organization is, and you cannot measure productivity or productive activities in the same way that you can in an industrial organization where you have a product that you can see the impact on the marketplace.

so, it is a challenge to ensure that those people are properly motivated and are properly measured, in turn, so that they do, indeed, concentrate on those things that are important and not -- spend as little time as you can on doing things which are really what the industry likes to call hobby shop work, which may be of interest to some individual but really don't contribute to the overall advance in the sciences or the technologies or the state of the art.

: Is part of that challenge getting these engineers and scientists --MR. BEGGS: Where do you get these questions?

: I made them up.

MR. BEGGS: All right. (Inaudible)

: After reading this stuff.

Is part of that challenge getting these scientists and

creative people to become managers where they ordinarily might not?

MR. BEGGS: No. You don't want them to be managers, most of them. That is one of the other challenges, to keep the guys, keep the individuals, the people who are the most creative doing technical work and not managing. And you have to try to cull out the few individuals who are more appropriately placed in management, who have the capability of managing creative people. But the majority of our people we would like to see, in the main, in creative technical work throughout their career.

: Well, then do you have to look for a special type of manager, one who can deal with the scientific and engineering and creativity?

Special, that is, from industry?

MR. BEGGS: No. I think you -- well, yes and no. I think you are still looking for a manager who can do the classical things that managers do, which is planning, organization and control, motivation, and day by day attention to the details of the business. We are running a business in a real sense.

So, they have to be managers in the classical sense.

Do you think NASA could

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

1	be more effective
2	MR. BEGGS: Wait a minute. I thought I had
3	I wrote an article like this one (Inaudible)
4	: Oh. Maybe Dorothy has
5	it.
6	MR. BEGGS: But anyway, I will see what I can
7	find.
8	: But do you think
9	MR. BEGGS: Basically, a manager has to do the
10	things that belong, that are key to making management
11	work, and they are what I have described, planning,
12	organizing, directing and motivating, control.
13	: Do you think NASA
14	MR. BEGGS: But, in order to be a good manager
15	of a knowledge organization, generally speaking they
16	should have had experience in that activity themselves.
17	They should understand what motivates (Inaudible)
18	effective, creative (Inaudible).
19	: And do you attribute
20	NASA's success to the fact that we have a good core of
21	that type of management?
22	MR. BEGGS: Yes. I attribute the success to
23	kind of a policy that was initiated very early and that
24	is having a good mix in NASA of both outside and inside
25	people, as well as a good mix of inside work and contracto
	NEAL R. GROSS

work, which lends a degree of competition to what we do.

reasons why NASA has been successful throughout the last 25 years is that we do the majority of our work outside the agency, so that we don't -- we have never become ingrown. I think that tends to be a hallmark of some of the agencies of the government (Inaudible) and they tend to do little contract work and they have little association without the outside world, other than to professional contacts.

And as a consequence, they don't have the same kind of competitive pressures.

The other thing that I think has happened with the agency is that over a period of time we have brought people in from the outside who have spent a short period of time and then gone back out. They bring new ideas, new thoughts, new management techniques to the agency which is extraordinarily important in keeping the agency young and vital.

You know, for the budget we spend, we have a relatively small civil service population, 20,000, 21,000 for a \$6 billion organization. If this were an industrial organization engaged in the same kind of business that we are in, they would probably have four or five times that many.

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

But because we are the organization that we are, we probably have the same -- that number employed, but they are employed through outside contracts, and that is very important.

I think the other aspects of the program, the fact that we have always worked as the cutting edge, means that you have a greater chance of attracting top talent from the outside. It means that, in addition, that you have a strong motivation for young people to enter the agency at the entry level and who put in some of the most productive years of their creative life in working on our program, and that is important.

: Do you think NASA could better be managed if it had a board of directors? Does it need a board of directors? And if not, do you envision one operating here anyway in a sort of unofficial capacity?

MR. BEGGS: We have got a board of directors. It is our committees in the Congress. The only trouble is, in recent years the committees in the Congress have tried to involve themselves too closely with our program, instead of judging us by our results, which is what they should do, as a big board does.

It is entirely appropriate for the board of directors and the committees of Congress to get involved

٠.

in the budgetary side. A good board will do that, in examining the budget and what have you.

But a good board does not try to get itself involved in the day to day management of the enterprise in trying to decide how to run the business in a shifting environment. We are in a shifting environment, not quite in the same sense as running a business in a marketplace, but in the sense that technology changes frequently and programs have to be adjusted accordingly.

: If you had your ---

MR. BEGGS: The Congress has exercised too much oversight responsibility in controlling our reprogramming activities between programs to too great a degree, and as a consequence they are not acting as a big board. They should be involved in the programming and in the budgeting, and the initiation of the programs, just as any good board does. They should involve themselves in the selection of the key people, the executives, which they tend to do.

But they should not get into the day to day management of the agency, which they have tended to do too much.

: Would you care to give

an example?

MR. BEGGS: Well, the reprogramming activities,

1	
1	where they have tightened the screw down each year a
2	little more to the point where if you want to program a
3	million dollars within a \$6 billion budget, we have to
4	go back to
5	: If you had
6	MR. BEGGS: Most industrial managers, as long
7	as they stay within their budget, have almost complete
8	flexibility. Even in their capital budget, most chairmen
9	have authority of a million dollars or more to
10	reprogram, even in smaller companies. But we are
1	constrained by the rules.
L2	In addition to that, the committees tend to
3	exercise control as to numbers of people within any
4	particular function, and most boards wouldn't do that.
15	: You mean within the
۱6	agency they try to
17	MR. BEGGS: Right. They set caps on the number
18	of people we can have in the budgetary function, or caps
19	on the number of people we can have here or someplace
20	else. And they shouldn't do that.
21	: If you were a CEO and
22	NASA was a corporation, and if you had your druthers,
23	what would you do with this board of directors, the
24	Congress? What would you do with them?
25	MR. BEGGS: Well, I would ask them to do just

what I expressed. I would ask them to concentrate on the budget and on the area of initiating new programs, which are, in essence, what a board does with industry, exercises responsibility for examining very carefully proposals to go into new businesses. When we have a new program, that is the analog of a business organization looking at a new area of business, so they should look at that. If you were a ---

MR. BEGGS: I would ask them to set overall budgets and overall direction, policy direction with respect to where we were going, and then leave the day to day management to the agency.

: What else would you do differently if you were a CEO of NASA and it was a corporation?

MR. BEGGS: Change the salary structure. They almost -- they tried to do that and then they backed away from it. Put more incentives in the system and raise entry level salaries.

: You think the present salary structure drives away good people?

MR. BEGGS: Yes. It definitely has the -- it doesn't drive them away, it makes them very susceptible to better offers from the outside, which means that your

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

better people may then leave.

Besides which, you can't tighten down to the point of taking away most of the PERK's that go with (Inaudible) senior job in industry. Most vice presidents in business corporations fly first class, have an expense account, are on an incentive bonus plan, and many companies have a lot of other things (Inaudible), other things like (Inaudible) in some cases help on their housing when they move.

We don't do any of those things. I don't know whether in the government environment you could ever do things (Inaudible). The incentive bonus system which the government is trying (Inaudible) liberalized or at least go back to the original intent, which was to pay bonuses to a large segment of the organization (Inaudible) if they did a good job, instead of restricting it to a limited number.

In addition to that, I think that the vice presidential level in this agency should have a few PERK's.

: By the vice presidential

level, you mean an associate administrator?

MR. BEGGS: Associate administrators, center directors. They should be permitted to leave and to fly first class. And I think the system that we have on

(202) 234-4433

permitting a little reciprocal entertainment should be revised, provided with a little bit more money for that.

: Given all that you have said about the lack of -- about the way NASA functions as a government agency, would you think that NASA would be better off and attract better people and keep them if it were a quasi public-private agency?

MR. BEGGS: I don't think so. I think it ought to be what it is. (Inaudible) I think there could be a little less pure than (Inaudible).

Our whole so-called representation allowance is \$25,000 a year. That \$25,000 a year is probably not a -- probably wouldn't cover the -- well, this will get me into trouble. I don't know whether I ought to say it. But if that were off the record, it probably wouldn't cover more than one vice president's entertainment allowance (Inaudible) more than the CEO's (Inaudible).

You see, that will be misinterpreted. The point is that we are running a business in a real sense because we are doing things, we are selling space on the Shuttle, we are doing business with a broad sector of the industry. We are doing business with almost half the countries of the world which have much more liberal policies than we.

1 If you go to Europe, we are generally --2 anyone who goes over there is generally entertained in 3 a first class restaurant. Because (Inaudible), we can't 4 (Inaudible). 5 I can. You see, that is why this will be 6 misinterpreted. We have the \$25,000 that will cover my 7 entertaining (Inaudible). I say entertaining, taking 8 them to dinner. And it will permit us to give them a 9 cocktail before dinner, but not much more than that. 10 Does this lack of the 11 representational allowance or small one hinder NASA's 12 work? Do you think it could work better if we had 13 14 MR. BEGGS: No. Your question, your 15 developer would be better off doing something else, and 16 so in that respect we would. But other than that, I 17 don't think it hinders doing the work. 18 I think with respect to competing with the 19 French on area, and with the Japanese eventually, they 20 have a much easier system which permits them to do a 21 lot more of the kind of thing that you want to do when 22 you are courting customers. 23 One final question ---24 MR. BEGGS: You have got to be careful with 25 that area, because everybody up on the Hill thinks we **NEAL R. GROSS**

1	get too much money anyway.
2	: Would you rather not
3	make that point?
4	MR. BEGGS: No. I think that the point ought
5	to be made, but make it very carefully.
6	: Okay. My final question
7	is: What can corporate boards learn from the way NASA
8	is managed?
9	MR. BEGGS: Well, I think that corporate boards
10	and corporate management in general could learn a lot
11	about the appropriate way to manage research and
12	development activities, particularly (Inaudible). I
13	think this agency knows to the degree probably no other
14	oganization in the world how to run a high technology
15	program and to bring it through reasonably on budget and
16	close to schedule.
17	The management of high technology, I think, and
18	there are some industries around the states that do that
19	very well, but in general I think the management of
20	advanced technology is not very well understood in
21	industry or in our society.
22	I think the way in which NASA has worked with
23	a very important industry, namely aerospace, over the
24	long period of time, the appropriate government-industry
25	relationship is something that they should understand. I

18 don't think that is very well understood in this country. 1 2 And they could learn a lot from the way NASA has cooperated with the majors in the United States over a 3 long period of years in developing technology capacity and have technology accrue to the industry and permitting 5 the industry to come in and work with it on a very close 6 7 working relationship. 8 What is the major 9 misconception? MR. BEGGS: Well, I think the major misconcep-10 11 tion in a lot of businesses is that there is no role of 12 the government in this area, and I think that is 13 dangerous that you ought to leave it all to business. The point being that, you know, in an era of 14

The point being that, you know, in an era of high interest rates, even in an era of low interest rates, the probability that a business will do research and technology that don't pay off for 10 or 20 years is very, very slim, but they are still very important.

So, it is important to have a government role.

I think the ability to translate that technology into
useful product is something that we need to learn a lot
better.

: By we, you mean who?

MR. BEGGS: The United States. And we have found in the last decade or two that the Japanese do it

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	
2	
3	
4	-
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2 3	
24	
25	

better than we do...

(END OF TAPE.)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1330 VERMONT AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

(202) 234-4433